# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Requestor Name** 

Jack P. Mitchell, D.C.

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-16-1614-01

**MFDR Date Received** 

February 11, 2016

**Respondent Name** 

**Texas Mutual Insurance Company** 

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

Box Number 54

# **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "DOS 10/27/2015, code 99456-RE W8 represents Non MMI/IR Examination for the purpose of employee's ability to Return to Work...

The HCFA submitted for claim lacked the appropriate modifier of W8. This has now been corrected on this request for reconsideration to allow the insurance carrier to understand and remit claim in full."

Amount in Dispute: \$500.00

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "The requester billed \$500.00 for code 99456-RE. From the documentation the requestor was billing for a return to work exam, which requires modifier W8. None of the bills received by Texas Mutual from the requestor reflect the use of modifier W8."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company

# SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
October 27, 2015	Designated Doctor Examination	\$500.00	\$0.00

#### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 sets out the fee guidelines for division-specific services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - CAC-4 The procedure code is inconsistent with the modifier used or a required modifier is missing.

• 732 – Accurate coding is essential for reimbursement. Modifier billed incorrectly or missing. Services are not reimbursable as billed.

## <u>Issues</u>

Are the insurance carrier's reasons for denial or reduction of payment supported?

# **Findings**

The insurance carrier denied disputed services with claim adjustment reason codes CAC-4 – "THE PROCEDURE CODE IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE MODIFIER USED OR A REQUIRED MODIFIER IS MISSING," and 732 – "ACCURATE CODING IS ESSENTIAL FOR REIMBURSEMENT. MODIFIER BILLED INCORRECTLY OR MISSING. SERVICES ARE NOT REIMBURSABLE AS BILLED."

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(i)(1) states, in relevant part:

Designated Doctors shall perform examinations in accordance with Labor Code §§408.004, 408.0041 and 408.151 and Division rules, and shall be billed and reimbursed as follows: ...

(E) Ability of the employee to return to work shall be billed and reimbursed in accordance with subsection (k) of this section, with the use of the additional modifier "W8"

Review of the available information finds that the requestor was ordered to perform a designated doctor examination to determine the injured employee's ability to return to work. Submitted documentation does not support that this service was billed in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(i)(1)(E). The insurance carrier's denial reason is supported. Additional reimbursement cannot be recommended.

### Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

# **Authorized Signature**

	Laurie Garnes	February 29, 2016	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.